REVIEWER 1 REPLIES:

I would like to thank the reviewer and the editor for comments provided for the manuscript and I have changed the material accordingly to better suit the policy of the journal.

1. Signs needed to be avoided have been altered and the manuscript was checked for appropriate English language usage.
2. Keywords have been modified according to MeSH search database.
3. The description has been corrected for the confusion between hemorrhagic and endometriotic cyst.
4. Appendicular endometriosis is not exceptional but a gynecological history of involvement of the right ovary in past history should prompt the surgeon for a differential diagnosis. This was described as a controversy denoting the routine offering of appendectomy in patients undergoing right ovarian cystectomy for endometriosis as discussed by Uncu et al. This correlation was highlighted in the writing of this case report.
5. Current references have been added.
6. Im afraid a video or intaroperative pictures are not allowed as per local law and hospital policy and hence could not be shared.
7. Figure legends have been elaborated.

REVIEWER 2 REPLY:

The histopathology report is usually provided as the specimen is sent out of facility for histopathological diagnosis. Hence, magnified pictures could not be shared.