

Dear Author,

Here are the final proofs of your article. Please check the proofs carefully.

Please note that at this stage you should only be checking for errors introduced during the production process. Please pay particular attention to the following when checking the proof:

- Author names. Check that each author name is spelled correctly, and that names appear in the correct order of first name followed by family name. This will ensure that the names will be indexed correctly (for example if the author's name is 'Patel, J. ', she will be cited as ' Jane Patel ').
- Affiliations. Check that all authors are cited with the correct affiliations, that the author who will receive correspondence has been identified with an asterisk (*), and that all equal contributors have been identified with a well sign (#).
- Ensure that the main text is complete.
- Check that figures, tables and their legends are included and in the correct order.
- Look to see that queries that were raised during copy-editing or typesetting have been resolved.
- Confirm that all web links are correct and working.
- Ensure that special characters and equations are displaying correctly.
- Check that additional or supplementary files can be opened and are correct.

Changes in scientific content cannot be made at this stage unless the request has already been approved. This includes changes to title or authorship, new results, or corrected values.

How to return your corrections

Returning your corrections via email:

- Annotate the proof PDF with your corrections.
- Remember to include the journal title, manuscript number, and your name when sending your response via email.

After you have submitted your corrections, you will receive email notification from our production team that your article has been published in the final version. All changes at this stage are final. We will not be able to make any further changes after publication.

Kind regards,



QuPath. A new digital imaging tool for geropathology

Amanda Lee^a, Zhou Jiang^a, Lida Zhu^a, Warren Ladiges^{a,*}

^a Department of Comparative Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

Abstract

Translational research regularly utilizes immunohistochemistry (IHC) to investigate pathological differences in the clinical or laboratory setting. However, the majority of these studies require the extensive work of a trained pathologist to analyze slides in a meaningful way. In order to explore new ways to quantitate IHC stains in a manner that is reproducible and efficient for both pathologists and research scientists, QuPath was explored as a new digital imaging tool. The hippocampal area of brains from older sleep deprived mice were stained using established IHC protocols to explore biomarker levels that would be insightful for measurable differences. Application features of QuPath are described that quantitatively show sleep deprived mice had robust differences in staining intensity for four different biomarkers compared to non-sleep deprived mice. These observations provide the rationale for QuPath as a digital imaging tool to enhance the quantitative and qualitative usefulness of IHC staining in the field of geropathology.

Keywords: Digital imaging, QuPath, immunohistochemistry, geropathology, neuropathology, machine learning

Introduction

Immunohistochemistry is a valuable tool that can visualize biomarker location in tissues. Unfortunately, there are not many free and open programs that are able to thoroughly explore quantitative results of stained slides without extensive effort or cost. In 2017, a new whole slide image analyzing software called QuPath was released by the Queen's University Belfast [1]. This program offers bio-imaging analysis that simplifies the manual tasks performed by pathologists into machine learning that can be efficiently applied across large batches of images. The reduced labor ultimately reduces the variability and increases the reproducibility that are often hurdles in image analysis. This workflow is improved even further by a scripting function that can be saved, shared, and applied through algorithms created through the machine learning feature of QuPath. This new software holds a large range

of potential that has yet to be explored for analyzing images in research.

Application

Tissues

Brain tissue from C57BL/6 mice, 16-18 months of age, was used in this study.

Immunohistochemistry staining

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 4µm thick, paraffin embedded mouse brain tissue mounted onto slides. Slides were rehydrated with xylene, decreasing concentrations of ethanol, and deionized water. Antigen retrieval was performed by immersing the slides in a hot water bath at 98 degrees C incubated in a 1:10 Citrate Antigen Retrieval solution in autoclaved deionized water for 20 minutes. Slides were then stained using an avidin-biotin HRP kit (anti-rabbit HRP-DAB Cell & Tissue Staining Kit, R&D Systems Minneapolis, MN) with manufacturer instructions. Slides were applied with a 3% peroxidase blocking reagent for 15 minutes to quench endogenous peroxidase activity which reduces background noise on the final stain result. Slides were washed in a TBST solution for 5 minutes. To reduce non-specific hydrophobic interactions between the primary antibody and the tissue, serum blocking reagent was placed onto each section for

* Corresponding author: Warren Ladiges

Mailing address: Department of Comparative Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, USA.

E-mail: wladiges@uw.edu

Received: 05 June 2020 / Accepted: 14 June 2020




Figure 1. Annotation of hippocampus with polygon wand tool. Hippocampus was outlined with a freehand tracing tool to reduce background staining. A manual check was made to ensure tissue and staining quality.

15 minutes. After draining the serum off the slides, avidin blocking reagent was placed onto them for 15 minutes followed by a rinse with TBST for 5 minutes. To prevent the binding of previously applied avidin, biotin blocking reagent was placed onto the slides for 15 minutes. Primary antibody in TBST was applied overnight in a humidified chamber. Slides were rinsed 3 times in TBST for 5 minutes each, then incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody for 30 minutes and rinsed 3 times in TBST for 5 minutes each. Slides were incubated in HSS-HRP for thirty minutes and rinsed in TBST 3 times for 2 minutes each. DAB Chromogen was applied to slides and incubated in the solution for 5 minutes each before rinsing with deionized water for 5 minutes. Slides were dehydrated in an increasing concentration of ethanol and xylene then mounted with a coverslip.

Imaging

IHC slides were photographed under a Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope with a Nikon D7100 camera through a microscope camera adaptor. All photos were taken under a magnification of 4x so that the entire hippocampus could be captured within each photo field.

Using QuPath

QuPath version v0.2.0-m11 was downloaded from Github (<https://QuPath.github.io/>) [1]. QuPath allows the user to determine how much RAM it will take up. We used 6 GB. A new project was created on QuPath per each staining group examined. This step was done to avoid having to redo the steps of the project workflow for each image.

1. Calibration of DAB intensity. The image type was set to H-DAB in order for QuPath to recognize the images as a DAB Chromogen stain. The RGB values for DAB were then calibrated to better represent the project by selecting the region of interest (ROI) that is representative of positive stains along the hippocampus. Smaller ROIs were used that selected only the smaller areas of positive staining to reduce any potential background noise. These ROIs

were then averaged to come up with a new RGB DAB value for the project code.

2. Tissue annotation. The hippocampus was then annotated with the polygon wand to only measure staining at the desired region of the tissue (Figure 1). However, if there were any folds or staining irregularities through a manual check, the slide was omitted from analysis.

3. Superpixel analysis. To quantify the staining, superpixels were created to analyze the hippocampus. Within the annotated hippocampus, the QuPath program groups similar pixels into a cluster called a superpixel based on the RGB values set for DAB (Figure 2). Pixel based analysis was chosen as the desired method of quantification because this study is looking at multiple stains, and pixel analysis allows us to follow an almost identical protocol between each group [2]. Superpixel size was set to 25 μm^2 in order to balance capturing positively stained sections at a high resolution and processing speed. QuPath then applies a DAB intensity to each of the superpixels previously set by the initial DAB RGB calibrating. Not only does it process as positive or negative, but it also separates the staining at three levels of thresholds: 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6 or a positive at all three levels. This therefore allowed the capture of staining intensity across all positively stained cells in the annotated region.

4. Setting thresholds for heat maps. In order to visualize the DAB staining thresholds, a “heat map” was generated for each image. QuPath allows users to apply a gradient of color according to the quantifications of DAB staining generated previously. The heat map allows a qualitative complement of the previous analyses and serves useful in identifying certain regions that have higher levels of staining. QuPath generates the heat map by assigning a color to each superpixel which indicates the different levels of DAB staining based on the previously described thresh-




Figure 2. Superpixel creation after tissue annotation. Superpixels are created through a tile feature that QuPath utilizes to capture objects. Size of tile can be adjusted to best balance resolution and processing speed. Superpixel size was set to 25 μm^2 .




Figure 3. Visual representation of positive superpixels using a heat map. Sagittal hippocampal sections were imaged at 4x and analyzed using QuPath. Rows represent staining groups while columns show control or sleep deprived mice. Staining intensity is based on positive superpixel signal with dark blue suggesting lower levels, green being moderate, and red as high. The example slides show that sleep deprived mice had lower HDAC2 and MCP-1 but higher synaptophysin and BDNF levels than control mice.

olds. However, the upper and lower limits of the color spectrum must be set by the user and made equal for each new project. This way, the results within each project can be compared to one another relative to staining distribution and intensity across the hippocampus. It should be noted, however, that the color is not indicative of positivity, but rather captures the differences in intensity of stain. In order to compare differences, four biomarkers were chosen to measure impacts of short-term sleep deprivation [3]. MCP-1 was a measure for inflammation [4]; HDAC2 was a measure for epigenetic regulation of transcriptional repression [5]; synaptophysin and BDNF were measures for synaptic function and plasticity, respectively [6-7]. As can be seen in Figure 3, there were clear differences in staining intensity in the hippocampal area of the brain from sleep deprived and non-sleep deprived mice.

Discussion

Immunohistochemistry results can be quickly visualized through a QuPath heat map which shows a difference in superpixel positivity among different staining groups. Although the exact mechanisms cannot be quantified, the heat map gives a clear picture of positive staining as to location and intensity based on superpixels through QuPath and provides a glimpse of the molecular pathway involved.

QuPath is a relatively new software so there is still much to be explored and refined with analyzing protocols. Currently, a PubMed search only brings up a total of 22 papers in total that have used this program to analyze whole image slides. Additionally, because QuPath is only an analyzing software, it is critical that immunohistochemistry protocols be performed in a uniform and consistent manner. This would require careful planning of tissue harvesting, sectioning, and imaging that would allow for the best results. Further molecular analysis should be performed to confirm the QuPath data so that quantitative conclusions can be drawn on the molecular pathway that the study is investigating. QuPath can ultimately be used as a novel application to study correlations between positive superpixels in immunohistochemistry slides from a variety of different tissues and protocols.

Declarations

Conflict of interest: There are no conflicts of interest by any of the authors.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by NIA grant R01 AG057381 (Ladiges, PI).

References

1. Bankhead P, Loughrey M B, Fernández J A, et al. QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. *Scientific reports*, 2017, 7(1): 1-7.
2. Morriss N J, Conley G M, Ospina S M, et al. Automated Quantification of Immunohistochemical Staining of Large Animal Brain Tissue Using QuPath Software. *Neuroscience*, 2020, 429: 235-244.
3. Lee A, Zhgu L, Ladiges W. Resilience to acute sleep deprivation is associated with attenuation of hippocampal mediated learning impairment. *Geroscience*, 2020.
4. Bettcher B M, Fitch R, Wynn M J, et al. MCP-1 and eotaxin-1 selectively and negatively associate with memory in MCI and Alzheimer's disease dementia phenotypes. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring*, 2016, 3: 91-97.
5. Chouliaras L, LA van den Hove D, Kenis G, et al. Histone deacetylase 2 in the mouse hippocampus: attenuation of age-related increase by caloric restriction. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 2013, 10(8): 868-876.
6. Calhoun M E, Kurth D, Phinney A L, et al. Hippocampal neuron and synaptophysin-positive bouton number in aging C57BL/6 mice. *Neurobiology of aging*, 1998, 19(6): 599-606.
7. Quesseveur G, David D J, Gaillard M C, et al. BDNF overexpression in mouse hippocampal astrocytes promotes local neurogenesis and elicits anxiolytic-like activities. *Translational psychiatry*, 2013, 3(4): e253-e253.

Author Query Form





Dear Author,

During the copy-editing of your paper, the following queries arose.

Please refer to the query reference call out numbers in the page proofs and respond to each by marking the necessary comments using the PDF annotation tools.

Please remember illegible or unclear comments and corrections may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

QueryReference	Query	Remark
Q1	Author: Please confirm that given names and surnames/family names have been identified correctly.	
Q2	Affiliations: Please check if the affiliations are presented correctly.	
Q3	Corresponding author: Please check if the information are presented correctly.	
Q4	Please check if the reference is correct?	
Q5	Please check if the chart annotation is correct?	