Reply to Review Comment

Reviewer #1：

Liao et al reported a case of actinic keratosis and emphasized patient

education to prevent progression to carcinoma. I think the case was well

presented. However, some concerns remain.

1. Title: The current title is inappropriate. The title should be concise,

specific, and relevant. It should indicate what is special about this case.

Revise.

2. Introduction: In the last paragraph of the introduction, the author

should add the aim and innovation points of this study to make the case more

attractive to the readers.

Add words about the aim of this article.

3. Case report: The sentence "Risk factors for actinic keratosis include

skin sunburn from ultraviolet light, human papillomavirus infection,

exposure to arsenic-containing substances or arsenic in drinking water,

chronic skin inflammation, skin light-sensitive disease, and fairer skin

tone ethnic group, etc. " should be moved to the introduction or discussion

section.

Revise.

4. Case report: The pathology images of the lesion should be shown.

Add Figure 3.

5. Case report: The sentence "Dermatologists can use dermoscopy or a skin

slice through the lesion to diagnose AK (Actinic keratosis). General

physicians can rule out malignant skin diseases through history taking and

physical examination. Early referral to a dermatologist is recommended if

the lesions are irregular or unresponsive to skin ointment therapy." should

be moved to the introduction or discussion section.

Revise.

6. For grammatical correctness, the manuscript should be edited by a native

speaker of English. Revise.

Reviewer #2:

The case report contains too much non-relevant information (namely

irrelevant background information) which ends up losing the true purpose of

the article. I recommend you to improve the English and use more a recent

bibliographic references.

Revise.