This manuscript elaborated the statins adherence and associated muscle symptoms in elderly coronary heart disease patients from 10 Russian regions. There are some problems with this manuscript, which are listed as follows:

1. It is more appropriate to use “older adults” instead of “the elderly patients” in this manuscript.
2. In the INTRODUCTION section, it was mentioned that there were subjective and objective reasons for poor statin adherence, but only muscle symptoms were mentioned, and other causes were not mentioned.
3. In the DISCUSSION section, it was mentioned that “Also, the USAGE survey demonstrated that females were more likely to have discontinued statin intake than males, whereas in our study we did not find differences between sexes in statin termination. Importantly, the recent larger ACTION survey key results confirmed findings in USAGE”. However, the authors didn’t explain the differences.
4. What are the selection criteria for age (“≥ 70 years”) in Table 3?
5. Some of the literature cited in this manuscript is outdated, and some important studies are not mentioned. For example, there is a retrospective cohort study in women titled “Statin adherence and risk of acute cardiovascular events among women: a cohort study accounting for timedependent confounding affected by previous adherence” provides more credible conclusions by considering the time-dependence of adherence and confounders, as well as time-dependent confounders that may have been affected by prior adherence, and should be discussed in the DISCUSSION section of this manuscript.
6. Before performing multivariate analysis, one-way analysis should be performed and the presence of collinearity between indicators should be analyzed.
7. Your manuscript needs editing especially in sentence structure so that the goals and results of the study are clear to the readers.