**Reviewer comment**

Manuscript title: Spontaneous renal pelvis rupture: a case report and literature review

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| S.No | Manuscript line no. | Query/Correction |
| 1 | 13 | **Correction:** Spontaneous ~~rupture of the renal pelvis~~ = Spontaneous renal pelvic rupture |
| 2 | 46-47 | **Correction:** ~~as we can~~ identify = to identify |
| 3 | 61 | **Query:** “Laboratory test shows leucocytosis of 10,6 mil/mm3” Kindly check unit as leucocytosis is a case of W.B.C count greater than 11,000/mm3. |
| 4 | 62 | **Correction:** Later, her ~~diuretic rhythm diminishes~~ **=** Later, her urine output decreased |
| 5 | 66 | **Query:** According to the details provided in line 62, the patient's creatinine level had risen. Consequently, I'm curious about the procedure for administering contrast before the CT scan. |
| 6 | 67 | **Query:** In line 62, it's mentioned that the patient's urine output decreased within 12 hours, yet the procedures were conducted within the first 6 hours of the emergency. Can you please clarify this apparent discrepancy? |
| 7 | 72 | **Correction:** ureteral ~~catheter~~ = ureteral stent |
| 8 | 75 | **Correction:** ureteral ~~catheter~~ = ureteral stent |
| 9 | 76 | **Correction:** in the third ~~month~~ postoperatively = in the third day postoperatively |
| 10 | 80 | **Correction:** ~~being the~~ ~~urethral~~ calculi the most = ureteral calculi being the most |
| 11 | 92-93 | **Query:** “The hypothesis needs change as it is not acceptable.  Kindly furnish a literature review that support your hypothesis (i.e: Surgical management of spontaneously ruptured kidney with peritonitis due to neglected renal and ureteric calculi by Prem Kumar& et al. etc., and ensure you include the references. |
| 12 | 108 | **Correction:** ~~urinary rhythm~~ = urine output |
| 13 | 112 | **Correction:** bladder ~~urine~~ cathete~~r~~ = bladder foley catheter |